

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,805	01/28/2008	Frank Michaels	59046-000039	3679
21967 HUNTON & V	7590 03/28/201 VILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY DEPA	KIM, YUNSOO		
1900 K STREE SUITE 1200	ET, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1109	1644		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,805	MICHAELS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
YUNSOO KIM	1644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned	patent term	adjustment.	See 37	CFR 1	.704(b).
Ctatura					

Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pan</i> .	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1.59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fro 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1.59 are subject to restriction and/or election.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	g(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorii a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bureau (PCT*) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	b been received. b been received in Application No cuments have been received in this National Stage Fille 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Parlisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-SE/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:
2.5 Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action St	ummary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20110324

Application/Control Number: 10/531,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-59 are pending.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, 56, 59, drawn to a method of modulating systemic immune response comprising administering of macromolecular aggregate of a peptide.

Group II, claims(s) 14, 15, 28 and 58, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macromolecular aggregate of a peptide. (Note that claims 14-15 are duplicate, appropriate correction is required.)

Group III, claim(s) 29-35, drawn to a method of modulating systemic immune response comprising administering of monomolecular aggregate of a peptide.

Group IV, claims(s) 36 drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an monomolecular aggregate of a peptide.

Group V, claim(s) 37-48, drawn to a method of modulating systemic immune response comprising administering of macromolecular aggregate of a non-peptide.

Group VI, claim(s) 49-54, drawn to a method of suppressing systemic immune response comprising administering of monomolecular aggregate of a non-peptide.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

Group VII, claims(s) 55 and 57 drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an monomolecular aggregate of a peptide.

3. The groups I -VII of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I-VII were found to have no special technical features that defined the contribution over the prior art of Aguilar et al. (EP 1136077A1, IDS reference, entire document).

Aguilar et al. teach methods of mucosal administering antigen aggregates to induce systemic immune response in mammal and pharmaceutical compositions thereof (abstract, p. 4-6, claims) as recited in claims of the instant application.

Since Applicants' inventions do not contribute a special technical feature when viewed over the prior art, or meet key critical elements, they do not have a single general inventive concept and so lack unity of invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
 The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/531,805 Page 4

Art Unit: 1644

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(j).

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUNSOO KIM whose telephone number is (571)272-3176. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.9-5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yunsoo Kim Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 March 24, 2011

/Yunsoo Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644